

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-WGC

ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 279)

13
14 In this capital habeas corpus action, after an initial 120-day period, a 60-day
15 extension of time, a 45-day extension of time, and another 45-day extension of time,
16 the respondents were due to file an answer by June 7, 2021. See Order entered
17 September 10, 2020 (ECF No. 242) (120 days for answer); Order entered January 7,
18 2021 (ECF No. 248) (60-day extension); Order entered March 10, 2021 (ECF No. 250)
19 (45-day extension); Order entered April 19, 2021 (ECF No. 276) (45-day extension).

20 On June 4, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No.
21 279), requesting a further 30-day extension of time, to July 7, 2021. Respondents'
22 counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of a personal family
23 matter. The petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

24 The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and
25 not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of
26 time requested.

27 ///

28 ///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 279) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **July 7, 2021**, to file their answer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) will remain in effect.

DATED this 7th day of June, 2021.

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE